

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/633,702 | 08/05/2003 | Robert Harold Bateman | DEH061 | 6783 | |
| 7590 05/18/2005 DIEDERIKS & WHITELAW, PLC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | GURZO, PAUL M | | |
| Woodbridge, V | am Square #301 /A 22192 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 5 , | | | 2881 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/18/2009 | DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| • | 10/633,702 | BATEMAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Paul Gurzo | 2881 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap | oril 2003. | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| <u>'=</u> | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-96</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-8,11-94 and 96</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9,10 and 95</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/633,702

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group 2 in the reply filed on 4/25/05 is

acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that species are never drawn to claims and the

velocity ranges are not mutually exclusive. This is not found persuasive because, while it is true

that species are drawn to Figures, not claims, when an apparatus is claimed that includes

different modes of operation and results, an election of species is deemed correct even if there is

only one embodiment depicted in the drawings. Further, Applicant is correct in stating that the

velocity ranges are not mutually exclusive and the 50 m/s covers both arrangements. However,

the mode of operation of the ion trap is substantially different when the velocity is less than or

equal to 50 m/s and when the velocity is zero. The energy within the trap is drastically different

and the trapping means is drastically different because the ions will remain in the trap if they

have zero velocity. Because there is such a fundamental difference in the working order of the

two ion traps, the two traps operate in mutually exclusive ways.

In addition, Applicant's argument regarding the examination of claim 1 is persuasive.

Therefore, claims 1, 9, 10, and 95 will be examined.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 10/633,702

Art Unit: 2881

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zajfman et al. (6,744,042).

Regarding claim 1, 917 teaches a mass spectrometer comprising an ion trap (1) comprising a plurality of electrodes (2A-2H and 3A-3H) wherein at a first time t1 ions enter said ion trap and wherein at a second later time t2 one or more axial trapping regions are formed or created along at least a portion of the length of said ion trap (col. 3, line 18 - col. 4, line 12 and Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10, and 95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zajfman et al. (6,744,042) in view of Buttrill, Jr. et al. (5,569,917).

Regarding claims 9, 10, and 95, 042 teaches a mass spectrometer and method a mass spectrometry comprising an ion trap (1) comprising a plurality of electrodes (2A-2H and 3A-3H), wherein in use ions received within said ion trap are trapped in one or more axial trapping regions within said ion trap and wherein in a mode of operation said one or more axial trapping regions are translated along at least a portion of the axial length of said ion trap (col. 3, line 18 - col. 4, line 12 and Fig. 1). They teach that the storage of the ions in the ion trap should be as

long as possible to perform measurements (col. 4, liens 13-14). In addition, they teach the first factor in ion storage is the ion velocities in the bunch, which can be adjusted according to any desired velocity (col. 2, lines 5-9 and col. 4, lines 64-67). Therefore, it is obvious that since the ion velocity is a parameter that can be adjusted and the ions have a slower velocity (obviously present to ensure that they remain in the trap for longer periods), thereby teaching on velocity reduction.

In addition to 042 that obviously but does not explicitly teach progressive velocity reduction, 917 teaches ions in an ion trap are compressed in physical space and the velocity is reduced. The velocity will continue to be reduced through every collision between the ions and the buffer gas (col. 1, lines 36-45). Therefore, it is obvious that the velocity will be less than or equal to 50 m/s because the ions can collide with the buffer gas as many times as necessary. The combination of the buffer gas collisions in 917 and the velocity parameter adjustment ability in 042 teach on the claimed velocity value. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reduce ion velocity because a spatially compressed ion cloud having relatively low velocity ions is much better suited to mass analysis than an ion beam dispersed over a much larger volume.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2472. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers for the Application/Control Number: 10/633,702

Art Unit: 2881

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PMG

Page 5